# **PFAS Immobilisation in Soil – A Design Guide**

#### Dr Richard Stewart, Managing Director, RemBind Pty Ltd





#### Demystifying Soil Treatment Alternatives, 26<sup>th</sup> October 2022



# What is Immobilisation?

- Immobilisation is a remediation technique that uses additives/reagents to lock up soil contaminants to prevent them leaching into the environment.
- Also called 'chemical fixation' or 'stabilisation'.
- 'Solidification/Stabilisation' (SS) also adds cement to form an extra physical barrier to leaching.





# What is **PFAS**?

- Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) are a group of man-made chemicals that have toxicity characteristics.
- Found in a variety of products like fire fighting foams, non-stick pans and even lipstick!
- Due to their highly stable C-F backbone, they are very persistent in the environment.
- Have made their way into soil, groundwater, drinking water and even biosolids & compost.





Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)



## **Treatment Alternatives for PFAS in Soil**





## The 'Sweet Spot' for Immobilisation



Matthew Askeland, ADE, Federal Contaminated Sites National Workshop, 16<sup>th</sup> November 2021 RPIC.



# Selection of Reagents ('Sorbents')

Туре	Examples	Benefits	Limitations
Mixed Mode - Carbon & Clay Mixture	RemBind	<ul> <li>Binds short and long chain PFAS</li> <li>Designed specifically for soil</li> <li>Binds some co-contaminants</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Availability restricted in some regions due to high demand.</li> </ul>
Modified Organoclays	FluoroSorb	<ul> <li>Binds short and long chain PFAS</li> <li>Can require lower dosage rates</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Relatively expensive for soil</li> <li>Restricted availability in some regions for high volumes.</li> </ul>
Activated Carbon	FiltraSorb	<ul><li>Good availability</li><li>Familiarity with consultants</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Weaker bonding to short chain PFAS – risk of long term leaching.</li> <li>Variable quality &amp; performance.</li> </ul>



### How RemBind Works – Mixed Mode

Hydrophobic Backbone Charged Head



#### RemBind

Binds to <u>activated</u> <u>carbon</u> through adsorption Binds to positively charged <u>clays</u> through ionic bonding



# Lab-Scale Feasibility Testing

- Compare different reagents
- Determine the optimal dosage rate
- Identify soil-specific factors that may impact on the process.



1. Screen soil, dose reagents (0% to 5%)





2. Add water and fix for 24 hours

3. Analyse PFAS Leaching using ASLP



### **Example Lab Trial Outcome**





### **Field Scale Implementation**





# **Common Soil Mixing Options – Trommel Screen**



- Pre-mix with excavator
- Mix through rotating screen
- ~500 m3 to 1,300 m3 per day
- Very cost-effective
- Portable, track mounted
- Suitable for most soils
- Heavy/wet clays may need extra processing step



# **Common Soil Mixing Options – Soil Recycler**



- Purpose built soil blender
- Cutters in mixing chamber
- ~250 m3 to 500 m3 per day
- Designed to handle clay soils
- Automated dosing system
- Wet clays can slow production rate considerably.



## **Other Soil Mixing Options**













## How to Measure Success in the Field

- Avoid the 'lamington effect' where large soil particles are coated on surface only!
- Volumetric mass balance there should be no soil or reagent left over!
- Infield tests dyes bind to reagent giving an indication of the spread of reagent.
- A sensible sampling and analysis plan showing reduced PFAS leachability.





# **Disposal Options after Treatment**

	Benefits	Challenges
Landfill	<ul> <li>Can dispose as reduced hazard class</li> <li>'Quick/final' risk mitigation for project owner</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Costly</li> <li>Unsustainable</li> <li>Potential for leachate contamination</li> </ul>
Onsite reuse or containment	<ul> <li>Sustainable, cost-effective</li> <li>Circular economy approach</li> <li>Unlock benefits of soil</li> <li>Reduce need for clean fill</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Not always a viable use/space for soil onsite</li> <li>Potential for long term leaching</li> </ul>



# **Predicting Long Term Stability**



Little or no PFAS desorption from immobilised soil under a wide range of environmental conditions:

- pH 3 to 10
- Temperature -15°C to 45°C
- Salinity EC 0 to 17 dS/m
- Phosphate 3x10<sup>-4</sup> to 3x10<sup>-2</sup> P
- SOM 50-200 mg organic C per L

"While short-term laboratory...cannot exactly mimic long-term field conditions, these results provide site owners and regulatory authorities with a high level of confidence that PFASs binding by RemBind is predicted to be persistent in the long term." S. Kabiri and M. McLaughlin, 2021. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144718</u>



# Monitoring Long Term Stability in the Field





■PFHxS ■PFOS □PFHxA ■PFOA



McDonough et al. ACS Omega 2022 7 (1), 419-429 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c04789</u>



# **Regulatory Considerations**

#### National PFAS NEMP 3.0 (draft)

- Contains a decision tree to allow soil reuse for certain PFAS levels
- Contains landfill disposal guidelines based on leachable PFAS
- <u>https://haveyoursay.agriculture.gov.au/nemp-on-pfas</u>

#### **Defence PFAS Construction & Maintenance Framework**

- Allows onsite reuse under different categories based on PFAS levels
- <u>https://defence.gov.au/estatemanagement/governance/Policy/Environment</u> /PFAS/docs/DefencePFASConstructionandMaintenanceFramework.pdf

#### EPA Victoria Interim Criteria for Reuse of PFAS-Impacted Soil

- Very conservative work in progress
- <u>https://www.epa.vic.gov.au/about-epa/publications/1669-4</u>



https://wastemanagementreview.com.au/consultation -opens-on-nsw-epa-draft-regulatory-strategy/



# **Cost of PFAS Immobilisation**

#### **Typical Cost Breakdown**

- Reagents ~\$3,000/t
- Typical dosage rates 1% to 3% (w/w)
- Mixing costs = ~\$5 to \$10/t of soil

#### Example

- Reagents 2% dose x \$3,000/t = \$60/t of soil
- Mixing = \$7/t of soil
- Total cost = \$67 per tonne of soil





## **Take Home Messages**

- Immobilisation is a proven and cost effective option for PFAS
- Not destructive PFAS remains in the soil
- Effective carbon/clay reagents are commercially available
- Mixing machinery should be fit for purpose and validated
- Long term stability testing is important
- Onsite reuse of immobilised PFAS soils should be supported as a sustainable alternative to landfill.



## **Contact Information**



Email: <u>richard.stewart@rembind.com</u> Phone: +61 8 8470 1716 Website: <u>www.rembind.com</u>



#### **Disclaimer**

The statements, analyses, opinions, information and conclusions in this presentation are those of the author/presenter and not of the Australasian Land & Groundwater Association Ltd (ALGA), which only acts in the capacity as host/convenor of the presentation.

No part of the presentation can be regarded as legal advice. Although care has been taken in preparing the presentation, neither ALGA nor the author/presenter represent or warrant that the information supplied is current, complete or accurate. To the full extent permitted by law, the author/presenter and ALGA do not accept any liability, nor owe a duty of care, to any person in respect of such information.

No person should rely in any way on the content of the presentation. You are encouraged to seek independent legal or other professional advice, if required.

